UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,500	07/13/2006	Tim Jungkamp	12810-00318-US	4602
	7590 07/16/200 SOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 2207		KOSACK, JOSEPH R		
WILMINGTON	N, DE 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/586,500	JUNGKAMP ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph R. Kosack	1626		

		Occopii it. Rocack	1 1020	
The N	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILE	ED <u>01 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
application, application	as filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on applicant must timely file one of the following in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe ed Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	rit, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
	iod for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection		
b) The peri	od for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A t, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection	on.
MONTH	er Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	f).		
have been filed is thunder 37 CFR 1.170 set forth in (b) abov	ne date for purposes of determining the period of ex (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the se, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply oric than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice	of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the No	otice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of the second of the	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	
_		hardware to the date of Clinical halos		
(a) They	sed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leads to ensure that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They	raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo are not deemed to place the application in bet al; and/or	•	educing or simplifying the	he issues for
(d) They	present additional claims without canceling a (E: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
_	Iments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		omnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
	reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant / monamont (1 102 024).
	posed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowab 7.	ole claim(s). es of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered. or b) ☐ w	ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
how the new The status	w or amended claims would be rejected is provor of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) all	owed: jected to:			
Claim(s) rej	ected:			
` '	hdrawn from consideration: DTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavi because ap	it or other evidence filed after a final action, but plicant failed to provide a showing of good and lier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. ☐ The affidavi entered bed	it or other evidence filed after the date of filing cause the affidavit or other evidence failed to c good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
	vit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.
	RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
The claims	st for reconsideration has been considered bu s are not drawn to using a zero valent nickel b s ligand complex. Therefore, the arguments a	identate phosphorus complex, but	are drawn to a zero va	<u>llent nickel-</u>
	ttached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	-	22 2. 23/10	
		/Rebecca L Anderson/ Primary Examiner, Art l	Jnit 1626	